

$X_1, X_2$

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  iid  $\rightarrow$  Statistics  
 $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots$  only the most recent  $X_t$   
 1st order process influences  $X_{t+1}$

## Chapter 5: Markov Chains

(not iid, first step away from it)  $\rightarrow$  Probability Stochastic Processes


it only matters where you are, not where you've been...

### 5.1 Introduction

So far, we have examined several stochastic processes using transition diagrams and First-Step Analysis.

The processes can be written as  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ , where  $X_t$  is the state at time  $t$ .

On the transition diagram,  $X_t$  corresponds to which box we are in at time  $t$ .



A.A. Markov  
1856-1922


In the Gambler's Ruin (Section 2.7),  $X_t$  is the amount of money the gambler possesses after toss  $t$ . In the model for gene spread (Section 3.7),  $X_t$  is the number of animals possessing the harmful allele A in generation  $t$ .

The processes that we have looked at via the transition diagram have a crucial property in common:  $X_{t+1}$  depends only on  $X_t$ .

It does not depend upon  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}$ .

Processes like this are called Markov chains.

**Example:** Random Walk (see Chapter 7)




$$V_D = p V_A + q V_B$$

$$V_A = p \cdot 1 + q V_D$$

In a Markov chain, the future depends only upon the present:  
NOT upon the past.



The text-book image of a Markov chain has a flea hopping about at random on the vertices of the transition diagram, according to the probabilities shown.



The transition diagram above shows a system with 7 possible states:

State space,  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ .

### Questions of interest

- Ans 1 Ch2 • Starting from state 1, what is the probability of ever reaching state 7? hitting probs
- Ans 2 Ch3 • Starting from state 2, what is the expected time taken to reach state 4? expected reaching times
- Ch6 • Starting from state 2, what is the long-run proportion of time spent in state 3? → equilibrium.
- Ch5 • Starting from state 1, what is the probability of being in state 2 at time  $t$ ? Does the probability converge as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and if so, to what? → Ch 6.

We have been answering questions like the first two using first-step analysis since the start of STATS 325. In this chapter we develop a unified approach to all these questions using the matrix of transition probabilities, called the *transition matrix*.

## 5.2 Definitions

The Markov chain is the process  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$

*Definition:* The state of a Markov chain at time  $t$  is the value of  $X_t$ .

For example, if  $X_t = 6$ , we say the process is in state 6 at time  $t$ .

*Definition:* The state space of a Markov chain,  $S$ , is the set of values that each  $X_t$  can take. For example,  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ .  $S = \text{set of boxes on diagram.}$

Let  $S$  have size  $N$  (possibly infinite).

$N = \# \text{ boxes}$

*Definition:* A trajectory of a Markov chain is a particular set of values for  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$ . "trajectory" means "path".

For example, if  $X_0 = 1$ ,  $X_1 = 5$ , and  $X_2 = 6$ , then the trajectory up to time  $t = 2$  is 1, 5, 6.

More generally, if we refer to the trajectory  $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots$ , we mean that

$$X_0 = s_0, X_1 = s_1, X_2 = s_2, X_3 = s_3, \dots$$

'Trajectory' is just a word meaning "path".

## Markov Property

The basic property of a Markov chain is that only the most recent point in the trajectory affects what happens next.

This is called the Markov Property.

It means that  $X_{t+1}$  depends upon  $X_t$ , but does not depend upon  $X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \dots, X_1, X_0$ .

We formulate the Markov Property in mathematical notation as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = s \mid X_t = s_t, \overbrace{X_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = s_0}^{\text{irrelevant}}) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = s \mid X_t = s_t),$$

for all  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and for all states  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_t, s$ .

**Explanation:**

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = s \mid X_t = s_t, \underbrace{X_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, X_{t-2} = s_{t-2}, \dots, X_1 = s_1, X_0 = s_0}_{\text{... but whatever happened before time } t \text{ doesn't matter.}})$$

↑  
distribution of  $X_{t+1}$  ...

↑  
... depends upon  $X_t$  ...


**Definition:** Let  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$  be a sequence of discrete random variables. Then  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$  is a Markov chain if it satisfies the Markov property:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = s \mid X_t = s_t, \dots, X_0 = s_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = s \mid X_t = s_t)$$

for all  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and for all states  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_t, s$ .

### 5.3 The Transition Matrix

We have seen many examples of transition diagrams to describe Markov chains. The transition diagram is so-called because it shows the transitions between different states.



We can also summarize the probabilities in a matrix:


States/Probabilities FROM TO

Engineering FROM

Handwritten notes:  $X_t$  (where we start) FROM

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Hot} \\ \text{Cold} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.8 \\ 0.6 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix describing the Markov chain is called the transition matrix.  
It is the most important tool for analysing Markov chains.



The transition matrix is usually given the symbol

$$P = (p_{ij})$$

In the transition matrix  $P$ :

- the ROWS represent NOW, or FROM ( $X_t$ ).
- the COLUMNS represent NEXT, or TO ( $X_{t+1}$ ).
- Matrix element  $(i, j)$  is the CONDITIONAL probability of going FROM  $i$  TO  $j$ , i.e. the prob that  $\text{NEXT} = j$  GIVEN THAT NOW =  $i$ .

$$p_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = j \mid X_t = i) = \mathbb{P}_{X_t=i}(X_{t+1} = j)$$

- Notes:**
- The transition matrix  $P$  must list *all* possible states in the state space  $S$ .
  - $P$  is a square matrix ( $N \times N$ ), because  $X_{t+1}$  and  $X_t$  both take values in the same state space  $S$  (of size  $N$ ).
  - The rows of  $P$  should each sum to 1:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} = j \mid X_t = i) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{P}_{\{X_t=i\}}(X_{t+1} = j) = 1.$$

This simply states that  $X_{t+1}$  *must* take one of the listed values.

- The columns of  $P$  do not in general sum to 1.

arrows into boxes  
don't sum to 1  
in general.

arrows OUT  
of each  
box sum  
to 1

transition probs = numbers on the arrows.

**Definition:** Let  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$  be a Markov chain with state space  $S$ , where  $S$  has size  $N$  (possibly infinite). The transition probabilities of the Markov chain are


$$p_{ij} = P(X_{t+1} = j \mid X_t = i) \text{ for } i, j \in S, \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Definition:** The transition matrix of the Markov chain is  $P = (p_{ij})$ .

## 5.4 Example: setting up the transition matrix

We can create a transition matrix for any of the transition diagrams we have seen in problems throughout the course. For example, check the matrix below.

**Example:** Tennis game at Deuce.



## 5.5 Matrix Revision


Check

### Notation

Let  $A$  be an  $N \times N$  matrix.


We write  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  
i.e.  $A$  comprises elements  $a_{ij}$ .

The  $(i, j)$  element of  $A$  is written both as  $a_{ij}$  and  $(A)_{ij}$ :  
e.g. for matrix  $A^2$  we might write  $(A^2)_{ij}$ .



## Matrix multiplication

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$  be  $N \times N$  matrices.



The product matrix is  $A \times B = AB$ , with elements

$$(AB)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N a_{ik} b_{kj}.$$

## Summation notation for a matrix squared

Let  $A$  be an  $N \times N$  matrix. Then

$$(A^2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N (A)_{ik} (A)_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^N a_{ik} a_{kj}.$$

## Pre-multiplication of a matrix by a vector

Let  $A$  be an  $N \times N$  matrix, and let  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  be an  $N \times 1$  column vector:  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \pi_N \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^T A = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_N) \begin{pmatrix} A \end{pmatrix}$$

We can pre-multiply  $A$  by  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T$  to get a  $1 \times N$  row vector,  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T A = ((\boldsymbol{\pi}^T A)_1, \dots, (\boldsymbol{\pi}^T A)_N)$ , with elements

$$(\boldsymbol{\pi}^T A)_j = \sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i a_{ij}.$$

## 5.6 The $t$ -step transition probabilities

Let  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$  be a Markov chain with state space  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ .

Recall that the elements of the transition matrix  $P$  are defined as:

$$(P)_{ij} = p_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j \mid X_0 = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i) \text{ for any } n.$$


$p_{ij}$  is the probability of making a transition FROM state  $i$  TO state  $j$  in a SINGLE step.

**Question:** what is the probability of making a transition from state  $i$  to state  $j$  over two steps?

ie. what is  $\mathbb{P}(X_2 = j \mid X_0 = i)$  ?

$$(\mathbf{P}^2)_{ij}$$





We are seeking  $\mathbb{P}(X_2 = j | X_0 = i)$ . Use the **Partition Theorem**:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_2 = j | X_0 = i) = \mathbb{P}_i(X_2 = j) \quad (\text{using subscript notation in Ch2})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{P}_i(X_2 = j | X_1 = k) \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 = k) \quad (\text{Partition Thm})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{P}(X_2 = j | X_1 = k, X_0 = i) \mathbb{P}(X_1 = k | X_0 = i)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{P}(X_2 = j | X_1 = k) \mathbb{P}(X_1 = k | X_0 = i) \quad \text{by Markov Property}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N p_{kj} p_{ik} \quad \text{by definitions}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N p_{ik} p_{kj} \quad \text{just swapping the order of } p_{kj}, p_{ik}$$

$$= (P^2)_{ij} \quad (\text{see Matrix Revision}).$$

notation  $(P^2)_{ij}$  is saving us all this algebra!

The two-step transition probabilities are therefore given by the matrix  $P^2$ :


$$\mathbb{P}(X_2 = j | X_0 = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+2} = j | X_n = i) = (P^2)_{ij} \quad \text{for any } n$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{79} = j | X_{77} = i) = (P^2)_{ij}$$

**3-step transitions:** We can find  $\mathbb{P}(X_3 = j | X_0 = i)$  similarly, but conditioning on the state at time 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_3 = j | X_0 = i) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{P}(X_3 = j | X_2 = k) \mathbb{P}(X_2 = k | X_0 = i) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N p_{kj} (P^2)_{ik} \\ &= (P^3)_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Partition over  $X_2$  and use result about  $P^2$  above





$$(P^t)_{ij}$$



$$(P_{ij})^t$$

not

The three-step transition probabilities are therefore given by the matrix  $P^3$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(X_3 = j | X_0 = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+3} = j | X_n = i) = (P^3)_{ij} \quad \text{for any } n.$$

### General case: $t$ -step transitions

The above working extends to show that the  $t$ -step transition probabilities are given by the matrix  $P^t$  for any  $t$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+t} = j | X_n = i) = (P^t)_{ij} \quad \text{for any } n$$

We have proved the following Theorem.

**Theorem 5.6:** Let  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$  be a Markov chain with  $N \times N$  transition matrix  $P$ . Then the  $t$ -step transition probabilities are given by the matrix  $P^t$ . That is,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = (P^t)_{ij}.$$

It also follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+t} = j | X_n = i) = (P^t)_{ij} \quad \text{for any } n. \quad \square$$

### 5.7 Distribution of $X_t$



Let  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$  be a Markov chain with state space  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ .

Now each  $X_t$  is a random variable, so it has a probability distribution.

We can write the probability distribution of  $X_t$  as an  $N \times 1$  vector.

For example, consider  $X_0$ . Let  $\pi$  be an  $N \times 1$  vector denoting the probability distribution of  $X_0$ :

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \pi_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}(X_0 = 1) \\ \mathbb{P}(X_0 = 2) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{P}(X_0 = N) \end{pmatrix}$$

$N = \# \text{boxes on diagram.}$

In the flea model, this corresponds to the flea choosing at random which vertex it starts off from at time 0, such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{flea chooses to start on vertex } i) = \pi_i.$$

**Notation:** we will write  $X_0 \sim \pi^T$  to denote that the row vector of probabilities is given by the row vector  $\pi^T$ .

### Probability distribution of $X_1$

Use the Partition Rule, conditioning on  $X_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \mathbb{P}(X_0 = i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N p_{ij} \pi_i \quad \text{by definitions} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i p_{ij} \\ &= (\pi^T P)_j \end{aligned}$$

see pre-multiplication by a vector in Section 5.5, Matrix Revision.

This shows that  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = j) = (\pi^T P)_j$  for all  $j$ .

The row vector  $\pi^T P$  is therefore the probability distribution of  $X_1$ :

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X_0 \sim \pi^T \\ X_1 \sim \pi^T P \end{array} \right\}$$

### Probability distribution of $X_2$

Using the Partition Rule as before, conditioning again on  $X_0$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(X_2 = j) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{P}(X_2 = j | X_0 = i) \mathbb{P}(X_0 = i) = \sum_{i=1}^N (P^2)_{ij} \pi_i = (\pi^T P^2)_j.$$

The row vector  $\pi^T P^2$  is therefore the probability distribution of  $X_2$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} X_0 \sim \pi^T \\ X_1 \sim \pi^T P \\ X_2 \sim \pi^T P^2 \\ \vdots \\ X_t \sim \pi^T P^t. \end{array}$$

These results are summarized in the following Theorem.

**Theorem 5.7:** Let  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$  be a Markov chain with  $N \times N$  transition matrix  $P$ . If the probability distribution of  $X_0$  is given by the  $1 \times N$  row vector  $\pi^T$ , then the probability distribution of  $X_t$  is given by the  $1 \times N$  row vector  $\pi^T P^t$ . That is,

$$X_0 \sim \pi^T \Rightarrow X_t \sim \pi^T P^t$$

**Note:** The distribution of  $X_t$  is  $X_t \sim \pi^T P^t$  (if  $X_0 \sim \pi^T$ )

The distribution of  $X_{t+1}$  is  $X_{t+1} \sim \pi^T P^{t+1}$

Taking one step in the Markov chain corresponds to multiplying by  $P$  on the right.

**Note:** The  $t$ -step transition matrix is  $P^t$  (Theorem 5.6)

The  $(t+1)$ -step transition matrix is  $P^{t+1}$

Again, taking one step in the Markov chain corresponds to multiplying by  $P$  on the right.

take 1 step...



$\leftarrow P \equiv$

...multiply by  $P$  on the right

Numbers  $a, b$  :  $ab = ba$   
Matrices  $A$  and  $B$  :  $AB \neq BA$ .

## Mid-Semester Test:

- was Wed 12th Sep 12-1pm  
(in lecture hour)

First week back after Break.


- 301 and 331 tests same day?
- Move 325 test to Wed 19th Sep  
(same as Ass 3 due date) ? //

- Yes?

↓  
Email me if  
problem.

- No?


- Don't know  
yet.



## 5.8 Trajectory Probability

Recall that a trajectory is a sequence of values for  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t$ .

Because of the Markov Property, we can find the probability of any trajectory by multiplying together the starting probability and all subsequent single-step probabilities.



**Example:** Let  $X_0 \sim (\frac{3}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ . What is the probability of the trajectory 1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4) &= \mathbb{P}(X_0 = 1) * p_{12} * p_{23} * p_{32} * p_{23} * p_{34} \\ &= \frac{3}{4} * \frac{3}{5} * 1 * \frac{2}{3} * 1 * \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{10} \end{aligned}$$

Use this

## Proof in formal notation using the Markov Property:


Let  $X_0 \sim \pi^T$ . We wish to find the probability of the trajectory  $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_0 = s_0, X_1 = s_1, \dots, X_t = s_t) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = s_t | X_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = s_0) \times \mathbb{P}(X_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = s_0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = s_t | X_{t-1} = s_{t-1}) \times \mathbb{P}(X_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = s_0) \quad (\text{Markov Property}) \\ &= p_{s_{t-1}, s_t} \mathbb{P}(X_{t-1} = s_{t-1} | X_{t-2} = s_{t-2}, \dots, X_0 = s_0) \times \mathbb{P}(X_{t-2} = s_{t-2}, \dots, X_0 = s_0) \\ &\vdots \\ &= p_{s_{t-1}, s_t} \times p_{s_{t-2}, s_{t-1}} \times \dots \times p_{s_0, s_1} \times \mathbb{P}(X_0 = s_0) \\ &= p_{s_{t-1}, s_t} \times p_{s_{t-2}, s_{t-1}} \times \dots \times p_{s_0, s_1} \times \pi_{s_0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A | B) \mathbb{P}(B)$$

## 5.9 Worked Example: distribution of $X_t$ and trajectory probabilities

Purpose-flea zooms around the vertices of the transition diagram opposite. Let  $X_t$  be Purpose-flea's state at time  $t$  ( $t = 0, 1, \dots$ ).



- (a) Find the transition matrix,  $P$ .

Answer:  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 0.8 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}$

- (b) Find  $\mathbb{P}(X_2 = 3 \mid X_0 = 1)$ .

Be Smart! Don't find all 9 elts of  $P^2$  when you only need one elt.

$$\mathbb{P}(X_2 = 3 \mid X_0 = 1) = (P^2)_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & 0.2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0.6 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 0.6 \times 0.2 + 0.2 \times 0.6 + 0.2 \times 0.2 = 0.28.$$

directly by diagram.

Note: we only need one element of the matrix  $P^2$ , so don't lose exam time by finding the whole matrix.

- (c) Suppose that Purpose-flea is equally likely to start on any vertex at time 0. Find the probability distribution of  $X_1$ .

From this info, the distribution of  $X_0$  is  $\pi^T = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ . We need  $X_1 \sim \pi^T P$ .

$$\pi^T P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 0.8 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $X_1 \sim (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$  and therefore  $X_1$  is also equally likely to be 1, 2, or 3.

- (d) Suppose that Purpose-flea begins at vertex 1 at time 0. Find the probability distribution of  $X_2$ .

The distribution of  $X_0$  is now  $\pi^T = (1, 0, 0)$ . We need  $X_2 \sim \pi^T P^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^T P^2 &= (1 \ 0 \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 0.8 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 0.8 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (0.6 \ 0.2 \ 0.2) \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 0.8 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (0.44 \ 0.28 \ 0.28).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathbb{P}(X_2 = 1) = 0.44$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X_2 = 2) = 0.28$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X_2 = 3) = 0.28$ .

Note that it is quickest to multiply the vector by the matrix first: we don't need to compute  $P^2$  in entirety.

- (e) Suppose that Purpose-flea is equally likely to start on any vertex at time 0. Find the probability of obtaining the trajectory  $(3, 2, 1, 1, 3)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, 1, 3) &= \mathbb{P}(X_0 = 3) \times p_{32} \times p_{21} \times p_{11} \times p_{13} \quad (\text{Section 5.8}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \times 0.8 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 \times 0.2 \\ &= 0.0128.\end{aligned}$$



## 5.10 Class Structure

boxes on diagram  
The state space of a Markov chain can be partitioned into a set of non-overlapping communicating classes.

States  $i$  and  $j$  are in the same communicating class if there is some way of getting from state  $i$  to state  $j$ , AND there is some way of getting from state  $j$  to state  $i$ . It needn't be possible to get between  $i$  and  $j$  in a **single** step, but it must be possible over some number of steps to travel between them both ways.

We write  $i \leftrightarrow j$

**Definition:** Consider a Markov chain with state space  $S$  and transition matrix  $P$ , and consider states  $i, j \in S$ . Then state  $i$  communicates with state  $j$  if:

- 1. there exists some  $t$  such that  $(P^t)_{ij} > 0$ , AND  $t \geq 0$
2. there exists some  $u$  such that  $(P^u)_{ji} > 0$ .  $u \geq 0$

$\Rightarrow i \leftrightarrow j$

Mathematically, it is easy to show that the communicating relation  $\leftrightarrow$  is an equivalence relation, which means that it partitions the sample space  $S$  into non-overlapping equivalence classes.


**Definition:** States  $i$  and  $j$  are in the same communicating class if  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , i.e. if each state is accessible from the other.

Every state is a member of exactly one communicating class.

**Example:** Find the communicating classes associated with the transition diagram shown.


**Solution:**  $\{1, 2, 3\}$

$\{4, 5\}$



State 2 leads to state 4, but state 4 doesn't lead back to 2, so they are in different classes.

not closed



closed class: {4, 5}.

**Definition:** A communicating class of states is closed if it is not possible to leave that class.

That is, the communicating class  $C$  is closed if  $p_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \in C$  and  $j \notin C$ .

**Example:** In the transition diagram above:

- Class  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  is not closed: it is possible to escape to class  $\{4, 5\}$ .
- Class  $\{4, 5\}$  is closed: can't escape.

**Definition:** A state  $i$  is said to be absorbing if the set  $\{i\}$  is a closed class.




**Definition:** A Markov chain or transition matrix  $P$  is said to be irreducible if  $i \leftrightarrow j$  for all  $i, j \in S$ . That is, a chain is irreducible if the state space  $S$  is a single communicating class.

## 5.11 Hitting Probabilities

We have been calculating hitting probabilities for Markov chains since Chapter 2, using First-Step Analysis. The hitting probability describes the probability that the Markov chain will ever reach some state or set of states.

In this section we show how hitting probabilities can be written in a single vector. We also see a general formula for calculating the hitting probabilities. In general it is easier to continue using our own common sense, but occasionally the formula becomes more necessary.



## Vector of hitting probabilities

Let  $A$  be some subset of the state space  $S$ . ( $A$  need not be a communicating class: it can be any subset required, including the subset consisting of a single state: e.g.  $A = \{4\}$ .)

The **hitting probability** from state  $i$  to set  $A$  is the probability of ever reaching the set  $A$ , starting from initial state  $i$ . We write this probability as  $h_{iA}$ .

Thus

$$h_{iA} = \mathbb{P}(X_t \in A \text{ for some } t \geq 0 \mid X_0 = i)$$

$h_i$                        $i$

**Example:** Let set  $A = \{1, 3\}$  as shown.

The hitting probability for set  $A$  is:

$X_0 \in A$  i.e.  $t=0$  above

- 1 starting from states 1 or 3.


(We are starting in set  $A$ , so we hit it immediately);

- 0 starting from states 4 or 5.

(The set  $\{4, 5\}$  is a closed class, so we can never escape out to set  $A$ );

- 0.3 starting from state 2.

(We could hit  $A$  at the first step (probability 0.3), but otherwise we move to state 4 and get stuck in the closed class  $\{4, 5\}$  (probability 0.7).)




We can summarize all the information from the example above in a vector of hitting probabilities:

$$\vec{h}_A = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1A} \\ h_{2A} \\ h_{3A} \\ h_{4A} \\ h_{5A} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Note:** When  $A$  is a closed class, the hitting probability  $h_{iA}$  is called the absorption probability.

$$\tilde{h}_A = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1A} \\ h_{2A} \\ \vdots \\ h_{NA} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}(\text{hit A} \mid \text{start at state 1}) \\ \mathbb{P}(\text{---} \mid \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} 2) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{P}(\text{---} \mid \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} N) \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose  $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$  has the following transition diagram:



✓ Gamblers Ruin problem.

**Solution:** Let  $h_{i4} = \mathbb{P}(\text{hit state 4} \mid \text{start at state } i)$  ( $i=1,2,3,4$ ).

Clearly  $h_{14} = 0 \leftarrow$   
 $h_{44} = 1 \leftarrow$

Using FSA :  $h_{24} = \frac{1}{2} * 0 + \frac{1}{2} h_{34}$   
 $h_{34} = \frac{1}{2} h_{24} + \frac{1}{2}$

Solving:  $h_{34} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} h_{34} \right\} + \frac{1}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3}{4} h_{34} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow h_{34} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{Thus} \quad h_{24} = \frac{1}{3}$$

So the vector of hitting probabilities is  $h_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 2/3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  ←

## Formula for hitting probabilities

In the previous example, we used our common sense to state that  $h_{14} = 0$ . While this is easy for a human brain, it is harder to explain a general rule that would describe this 'common sense' mathematically, or that could be used to write computer code that will work for all problems.

Although it is usually best to continue to use common sense when solving problems, this section provides a general formula that will always work to find a vector of hitting probabilities  $\mathbf{h}_A$ .

**Theorem 5.11:** The vector of hitting probabilities  $\mathbf{h}_A = (h_{iA} : i \in S)$  is the minimal non-negative solution to the following equations:

$$h_{iA} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i \in A, \\ \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} h_{jA} & \text{for } i \notin A. \end{cases}$$

*Handwritten notes:*   
 - Blue arrow pointing to  $i \in A$ : "definitely hit A if you start there"  
 - Red arrow pointing to the sum: "FSA eqns for hitting set A."

The 'minimal non-negative solution' means that:

1. the values  $\{h_{iA}\}$  collectively satisfy the equations above;
  2. each value  $h_{iA}$  is  $\geq 0$  (non-negative); i.e. viable probabilities,  $\geq 0$ .
  3. given any other non-negative solution to the equations above, say  $\{g_{iA}\}$  where  $g_{iA} \geq 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $h_{iA} \leq g_{iA}$  for all  $i$  (minimal solution).
- Handwritten notes:*   
 - Red "FSA" above item 1.  
 - Red "minimal" with a bracket around item 3.

**Example:** How would this formula be used to substitute for 'common sense' in the previous example?

The equations give:

*Handwritten:* FSA eqs

$$h_{i4} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 4, \\ \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} h_{j4} & \text{if } i \neq 4. \end{cases}$$


Thus,

$$h_{44} = 1$$

$$h_{14} = h_{14} \text{ unspecified! Could be anything!}$$

*Handwritten:* as before

$$\begin{cases} h_{24} = \frac{1}{2}h_{14} + \frac{1}{2}h_{34} \\ h_{34} = \frac{1}{2}h_{24} + \frac{1}{2}h_{44} = \frac{1}{2}h_{24} + \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$



Because  $h_{14}$  could be anything, we have to use the minimal non-negative value, which is  $h_{14} = 0$ .

(Need to check  $h_{14} = 0$  does not force  $h_{i4} < 0$  for any other  $i$ : OK.)

The other equations can then be solved to give the same answers as before.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 5.11 (non-examinable):

Consider the equations 
$$h_{iA} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i \in A, \\ \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} h_{jA} & \text{for } i \notin A. \end{cases} \quad (\star)$$

We need to show that:

(i) the hitting probabilities  $\{h_{iA}\}$  collectively satisfy the equations  $(\star)$ ;

(ii) if  $\{g_{iA}\}$  is any other non-negative solution to  $(\star)$ , then the hitting probabilities  $\{h_{iA}\}$  satisfy  $h_{iA} \leq g_{iA}$  for all  $i$  (minimal solution).

Proof of (i): Clearly,  $h_{iA} = 1$  if  $i \in A$  (as the chain hits  $A$  immediately).

Suppose that  $i \notin A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{iA} &= \mathbb{P}(X_t \in A \text{ for some } t \geq 1 \mid X_0 = i) \\ &= \sum_{j \in S} \mathbb{P}(X_t \in A \text{ for some } t \geq 1 \mid X_1 = j) \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j \mid X_0 = i) \end{aligned}$$

(Partition Rule)

$$= \sum_{j \in S} h_{jA} p_{ij} \quad (\text{by definitions}).$$

Thus the hitting probabilities  $\{h_{iA}\}$  must satisfy the equations  $(\star)$ .

Proof of (ii): Let  $h_{iA}^{(t)} = \mathbb{P}(\text{hit } A \text{ at or before time } t \mid X_0 = i)$ .

We use mathematical induction to show that  $h_{iA}^{(t)} \leq g_{iA}$  for all  $t$ , and therefore  $h_{iA} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{iA}^{(t)}$  must also be  $\leq g_{iA}$ .

*The hitting probs DO satisfy the FSA eqns: just Partition Thm. Easy.*

Define  $h_{iA}^{(t)} = \mathbb{P}(\text{hit } A \text{ at or before time } t \mid X_0 = i)$

Time  $t = 0$ :  $h_{iA}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin A. \end{cases}$

Use induction on  $t$ :

But because  $g_{iA}$  is non-negative and satisfies  $(\star)$ ,  $\begin{cases} g_{iA} = 1 & \text{if } i \in A, \\ g_{iA} \geq 0 & \text{for all } i. \end{cases}$  imposter soln.

So  $g_{iA} \geq h_{iA}^{(0)}$  for all  $i$ .

At time 0,  $\{h_{iA}^{(t)}\}$  trapped below the  $\{g_{iA}\}$ .

The inductive hypothesis is true for time  $t = 0$ .

Time  $t$ : Suppose the inductive hypothesis holds for time  $t$ , i.e.

The true soln,  $\{h_{iA}\}$ , has to increase to its converged point:

Consider

$$h_{jA}^{(t)} \leq g_{jA} \quad \text{for all } j.$$

$\{\text{hit } A \text{ at or before } t\} \subseteq \{\text{hit } A \text{ at or before } t+1\}$   
ie.  $h_{iA}^{(t)} \leq h_{iA}^{(t+1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{iA}^{(t+1)} &= \mathbb{P}(\text{hit } A \text{ by time } t+1 \mid X_0 = i) \\ &= \sum_{j \in S} \mathbb{P}(\text{hit } A \text{ by time } t+1 \mid X_1 = j) \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j \mid X_0 = i) \end{aligned}$$

(Partition Rule)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j \in S} h_{jA}^{(t)} p_{ij} \quad \text{by definitions} \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in S} g_{jA} p_{ij} \quad \text{by inductive hypothesis} \\ &= g_{iA} \quad \text{because } \{g_{iA}\} \text{ satisfies } (\star). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h_{iA}^{(t+1)} \leq g_{iA}$  for all  $i$ , so the inductive hypothesis is proved.

By the Continuity Theorem (Chapter 2),  $h_{iA} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{iA}^{(t)}$ .

So  $h_{iA} \leq g_{iA}$  as required. □

Query: does this mode of proof imply that  $\{g_{iA}\}$  are actually the hitting probabilities for some bigger set,  $B \supseteq A$ ?

If so, it explains why our  $h_{iA}$ 's would always be trapped  $\leq$  the  $g_{iA}$ 's.



## 5.12 Expected hitting times

In the previous section we found the **probability** of hitting set  $A$ , starting at state  $i$ . Now we study **how long** it takes to get from  $i$  to  $A$ . As before, it is best to solve problems using first-step analysis and common sense. However, a general formula is also available.



(reaching time)

**Definition:** Let  $A$  be a subset of the state space  $S$ . The **hitting time** of  $A$  is the random variable  $T_A$ , where

$$T_A = \min \{ t \geq 0 : X_t \in A \}.$$

$T_A$  is the time taken before hitting set  $A$  **FOR THE FIRST TIME.**

The hitting time  $T_A$  can take values  $0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\infty$ .

If the chain *never* hits set  $A$ , then  $T_A = \infty$ .

Generally  $T_A$  corresponds to counting arrows till reach set  $A$ .


**Note:** The hitting time is also called the **reaching time**. If  $A$  is a closed class, it is also called the **absorption time**.

**Definition:** The **mean hitting time** for  $A$ , starting from state  $i$ , is

$$m_{iA} = \mathbb{E}(T_A | X_0 = i).$$

**Note:** If there is any possibility that the chain *never* reaches  $A$ , starting from  $i$ , i.e. if the hitting probability,  $h_{iA} < 1$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(T_A | X_0 = i) = m_{iA} = \infty$ .

**Calculating the mean hitting times**



$$\mathbb{E}(T_W | X_0 = D) = \infty.$$

**Theorem 5.12:** The vector of **expected hitting times**  $\mathbf{m}_A = (m_{iA} : i \in S)$  is the **minimal non-negative solution** to the FSA equations:

$$m_{iA} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \in A \\ 1 + \sum_{j \notin A} p_{ij} m_{jA} & \text{for } i \notin A. \end{cases}$$

one step to get out of state  $i$       whatever else is needed after you've got out of  $i$ .

**Proof (sketch):**

Consider the equations  $m_{iA} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \in A, \\ 1 + \sum_{j \notin A} p_{ij} m_{jA} & \text{for } i \notin A. \end{cases} \quad (*)$ .

We need to show that:

- (i) the mean hitting times  $\{m_{iA}\}$  collectively satisfy the equations  $(*)$ ;
- (ii) if  $\{u_{iA}\}$  is any other non-negative solution to  $(*)$ , then the mean hitting times  $\{m_{iA}\}$  satisfy  $m_{iA} \leq u_{iA}$  for all  $i$  (minimal solution). Bonus Q

We will prove point (i) only. A proof of (ii) can be found online at: <http://www.statslab.cam.ac.uk/~james/Markov/>, Section 1.3.

**Proof of (i):** Clearly,  $m_{iA} = 0$  if  $i \in A$  (as the chain hits  $A$  immediately).

Suppose that  $i \notin A$ . Then

$$m_{iA} = \mathbb{E}(T_A | X_0 = i)$$

Law of Total Expectation  
(FSA eqns)

$$= 1 + \sum_{j \in S} \mathbb{E}(T_A | X_1 = j) \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j | X_0 = i)$$

(conditional expectation: take 1 step to get to state  $j$  at time 1, then find  $\mathbb{E}(T_A)$  from there)


$$= 1 + \sum_{j \in S} m_{jA} p_{ij} \quad (\text{by definitions})$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{j \notin A} p_{ij} m_{jA}, \quad \text{because } m_{jA} = 0 \text{ for } j \in A.$$

Thus the mean hitting times  $\{m_{iA}\}$  must satisfy the equations  $(*)$ . □

**Example:** Let  $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$  have the same transition diagram as before:

Starting from state 2, find the expected time to absorption.



**Solution:** Starting from  $i=2$ , we want to find  $\mathbb{E}$  (time to reach A) where  $A = \{1, 4\}$ , set of absorbing states.

So we want  $m_{iA} = m_{2A}$ .

$$\text{Now } m_{iA} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in \{1, 4\} \\ 1 + \sum_{j \neq A} p_{ij} m_{jA} & \text{if } i \notin \{1, 4\} \end{cases}$$


So:

$$m_{1A} = 0 \quad (\text{because } 1 \in A)$$

$$m_{4A} = 0 \quad (\text{" } 4 \in A)$$

$$m_{2A} = 1 + \cancel{\frac{1}{2} m_{1A}}^0 + \frac{1}{2} m_{3A} \Rightarrow m_{2A} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} m_{3A}$$

$$m_{3A} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} m_{2A} + \cancel{\frac{1}{2} m_{4A}}^0 \Rightarrow m_{3A} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} m_{2A}$$



$$\text{Solving: } m_{2A} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} m_{2A} \right\}$$


$$\Rightarrow m_{2A} = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad m_{3A} = 2$$

$\therefore \mathbb{E}(\text{time to absorption} \mid \text{start at state 2}) = m_{2A} = 2 \text{ steps.}$

**Example:** Glee-flea hops around on a triangle. At each step he moves to one of the other two vertices at random. What is the expected time taken for Glee-flea to get from vertex 1 to vertex 2?



**Solution:**



transition matrix,  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

We wish to find  $m_{12}$ .

$$\text{Now } m_{i2} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 2, \\ 1 + \sum_{j \neq 2} p_{ij} m_{j2} & \text{if } i \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$m_{22} = 0$$

$$m_{12} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}m_{22} + \frac{1}{2}m_{32} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}m_{32}.$$

$$m_{32} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}m_{22} + \frac{1}{2}m_{12}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2}m_{12}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}m_{32} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow m_{32} = 2.$$

Thus  $m_{12} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}m_{32} = 2$  steps.