

# THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND

---

SECOND SEMESTER, 2012

Campus: City

---

STATISTICS

Statistical Inference

(Time allowed: TWO hours)

**NOTE:** Attempt all questions. The total mark is 100.

**Be sure to show your working and define notation** - full marks will NOT be awarded for answers that are not proved or argued, even if those answers are correct.

1. [9 marks] Two independent Poisson random samples were observed, both of size ten. The random sample in the first group were iid  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_1)$  and the random sample in the second group were iid  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_2)$ . The maximum likelihood estimate was  $(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) = (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) = (4, 6)$  and hence the approximate variances of  $\hat{\lambda}_1$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_2$  were 0.4 and 0.6, respectively.

- (a) Calculate the Wald test statistic for the hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .
- (b) Calculate the likelihood ratio test statistic for  $H_0$ .

Recall that the density function of a  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  random variable is

$$f(y; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!}, \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

2. [9 marks]

- (a) Subject to regularity conditions, it has been shown that the likelihood is higher at the true parameter value,  $\theta_0$ , than at any other fixed parameter value with probability that becomes arbitrarily close to 1 as the sample size increases. Using this fact, show that the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is consistent. (You may assume  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and that the likelihood is unimodal.)
- (b) State and prove Jensen's inequality. You may use the following definition of a convex function defined on  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Definition:  $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex if for any  $y_0 \in D$  there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\phi(y_0) + c(y - y_0) \leq \phi(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in D.$$

3. [6 marks]

- (a) Suppose that the parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^s$  can be partitioned as  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ . Define the profile likelihood function for  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ .
- (b) Suppose that the MLE  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \mathbb{R}^s$  has approximate variance matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}$  and that  $g : \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  is differentiable. Write down the formula for the approximate variance (matrix) of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ .

4. [8 marks]

- (a) As a general rule of thumb, it is often said that a fluorescent light bulb lasts ten times longer than an incandescent light bulb. Express this statement in terms of an accelerated failure time model.
- (b) Two groups of patients were used in a study of the effectiveness of a drug. The first group received the drug and the second group received a placebo. There were 4 patients in the drug group and 3 in the placebo group and the survival times were

Drug group: 4 (8) 11 (12)

Placebo group: (3) 7 10

where values in parentheses denote censored observations.

Write down Cox's partial likelihood function for these data, assuming a proportional hazards model. Be sure to define your notation. (Do not attempt to simplify or maximize this partial likelihood).

5. [6 marks] Recall that for a scalar parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$  bootstrap percentile confidence interval is given by  $(\hat{\theta}_{\alpha/2}^*, \hat{\theta}_{1-\alpha/2}^*)$ , where  $\hat{\theta}_\gamma^*$  denotes the empirical  $\gamma$  quantile of the bootstrapped values.

Provide an argument to establish that this interval has (approximately) the desired coverage probability of  $(1 - \alpha)$ . Be sure to state all assumptions used.

6. [10 marks] A zero-inflated Poisson model, denoted  $\text{ZIP}(p, \lambda)$  was fitted to iid count data  $y_i, i = 1, \dots, 100$ . Consider the null hypothesis that the data are iid Poisson.

- (a) Write R code to conduct a bootstrap simulation of the distribution of the likelihood ratio test statistic. Specifically, your code should produce a vector `LRT` containing 1000 simulated likelihood ratio test statistic values. (For convenience, you may use the `glm` and `vglm` functions where appropriate. This will enable the required simulation to be implemented in about six lines of code.)
- (b) If the above code is correct then running it will show that the empirical 0.95 quantile of the bootstrapped LRT values is close to  $\chi_{1,0.9}^2$  (the 0.90 quantile of a chi-square with 1 degree of freedom). Provide an intuitive explanation of why this is so.

7. [6 marks] Show that the gamma density function

$$f(y; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y/\beta}}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad y > 0$$

can be written in exponential dispersion family form

$$\log f(y; \psi) = \frac{y\psi - b(\psi)}{\phi/w} + c(y, \phi, w). \quad (1)$$

Be sure to identify  $\psi, \phi$  and  $w$ .

8. [11 marks] In the context of a generalized linear model:

(a) Define

- i. Link function.
- ii. Saturated model.
- iii. Deviance residual.

(b) As an extreme example of sparse binomial data, suppose that  $y_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are iid  $\text{Bin}(1, p)$ , that is, iid Bernoulli with  $\text{Prob}(Y_i = 1) = p$ . The MLE is of course

$$\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i / n.$$

- i. Calculate the Pearson chi-square statistic for the fitted model.
- ii. Calculate the model deviance.
- iii. Use the results of the above calculations to argue in favour of preferring the Pearson chi-square (to the model deviance) as an omnibus test of model fit when the data are sparse.

9. [15 marks] Two independent random samples of count data were observed, both of size 20. Vector  $y$  contains  $(y_1, \dots, y_{40})$  where  $y_i, i = 1, \dots, 20$  were observed from group 1, and  $y_i, i = 21, \dots, 40$  were observed from group 2.

To begin, an iid Poisson model was fitted to all 40 observations using the `glm` function in R. The results of this fit are shown below, and the value of the Pearson chi-square statistic is also provided.

MODEL 1

Call:

```
glm(formula = y ~ 1, family = poisson)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	3.71113	0.02472	150.1	<2e-16 ***

Null deviance: 174.8 on 39 degrees of freedom  
 Residual deviance: 174.8 on 39 degrees of freedom  
 AIC: 396.66

Pearson chi-square is 174.0733

A second model was fitted, with the observations in group  $i$  modeled as  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_i), i = 1, 2$ . Here `grp` is a factor variable indicating the group.

MODEL 2

Call:

```
glm(formula = y ~ grp, family = poisson, data = Df)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	3.77506	0.03386	111.476	< 2e-16 ***
grp2	-0.13222	0.04955	-2.668	0.00763 **

Null deviance: 174.80 on 39 degrees of freedom  
 Residual deviance: 167.67 on 38 degrees of freedom  
 AIC: 391.52

Pearson chi-square is 163.2949

- (a) Define Akaike's information criterion, AIC.
- (b) What is the log-likelihood of Model 1?
- (c) What is the log-likelihood of the saturated model?
- (d) What is the sample mean of the observations in group 2?

If quasi-likelihood is used here,

- (e) What is the estimated over-dispersion,  $\hat{\phi}$ ?
- (f) What is the quasi-likelihood Wald test statistic for  $H_0 : \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ ?
- (g) What is the quasi-likelihood likelihood ratio test statistic for  $H_0 : \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ ?
- (h) What is the quasi-AIC of model 1?
- (i) Why is quasi-likelihood not a true likelihood?

10. [20 marks]

(a) The Laplace approximation for a one dimensional integral is

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} h(u) du \approx h(\hat{u}) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{c}} ,$$

where  $c$  is the negative of the curvature of  $\log h(u)$  evaluated at the maximizing value  $\hat{u}$ .

Provide a derivation of this approximation.

(b) Describe the method of importance sampling for numerical evaluation of the above integral.

(c) If random variable  $U$  is distributed as standard Cauchy, and  $Y|u$  is distributed  $N(u, \sigma^2)$  then the joint density function of  $(y, u)$  is given by

$$f(y, u; \sigma) = \frac{k}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-u)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \times \frac{1}{1+u^2} ,$$

where  $k$  denotes constant terms.

Assume that  $y = 0$  is observed and denote  $h(u) = f(0, u; \sigma)$ .

Verify that  $h(u)$  is maximized at  $\hat{u} = 0$ , and hence calculate the Laplace approximation to  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} h(u) du$ .

(d) Consider the following Poisson-normal random effects model,

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &\sim N(0, \sigma^2) & , i = 1, \dots, m \\ Y_{ij}|u_i &\sim \text{Pois}(e^{u_i}) & , i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n . \end{aligned}$$

- i. Write down the formula for the joint density function  $f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}; \sigma)$ .
- ii. Explain how separability can be used to reduce the computational burden of numerically integrating  $h(\mathbf{u}) = f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}; \sigma)$ .

---