# STATS 730: Midterm test

### Monday 16 Sept 2014

#### Total marks is 50

## Question 1: 11 mks:

- 1. Give an example of a *non-identifiable* model. Be sure to explain why it is not identifiable.
- 2. Give an example of an MLE that is approximately normal (in the sense that its distribution becomes arbitrarily close to that of a normal distribution when n increases), yet does not possess a mean.
- 3. Give an example where the bootstrap percentile method would not be a good approach for calculating a confidence interval. [Hint: In the justification of the percentile method there is a symmetry-of-distribution assumption. We have already seen a simple model in which this assumption does not hold.]

#### Question 2: 11 mks:

Consider a simple linear regression model with known variance,

$$\mu_i = a + bx_i , \qquad i = 1, ..., 10 \tag{1}$$

$$y_i = N(\mu_i, 1) . (2)$$

For convenience, the variance of  $y_i$  has been assumed known, leaving only a and b to be estimated. The MLE of (a, b) was found to be  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (-0.4, 1.0364)$  and the Hessian matrix of the log-likelihood was

$$\mathbf{H}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -10 & -55\\ -55 & -385 \end{array}\right)$$

which has inverse

$$\mathbf{H}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.4667 & 0.0667\\ 0.0667 & -0.0121 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Other summary statistics (which may or may not be relevant) are

$$\overline{x} = 5.5, \quad \overline{y} = 5.7$$

$$\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 = 82.5, \qquad \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = 102.1$$
$$\sum (y_i - \widehat{a} - \widehat{b}x_i)^2 = 13.491, \qquad \sum (y_i - x_i)^2 = 14$$

- 1. Calculate the Wald test statistic for the null hypothesis that the true regression line is  $\mu_i = x_i$ .
- 2. Calculate the LR test statistic for the above hypothesis. [NB. The two test statistics should be the same, up to the level of numerical accuracy, since the log-likelihood is quadratic.]
- 3. What is the degrees of freedom for these test statistics?
- Question 3: 11 mks Let y be observed from a Binomial(n, p) distribution. We know that  $\hat{p} = y/n$  with approximate variance  $\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})/n$ . Suppose that we are interested in making inference about  $\zeta = P(Y = 0) = (1-p)^n$ .
  - 1. Use the delta method to calculate the approximate variance of  $\hat{\zeta} = (1 \hat{p})^n$ .
  - 2. How would you recommend constructing a Wald-based confidence interval (i.e., one based on the approximate normality of MLEs) for  $\zeta$ ?
- Question 4: 11 mks Let  $Y_i$ , i = 1, ..., n be iid from a Cauchy distribution with median  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . That is, each  $Y_i$  has density function

$$f(y;\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+(y-\theta)^2)}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Assume that, within an R session, the data are in a vector y.

- a). Write an R program to find  $\hat{\theta}$  using the optim function.
- b). Write additional code to predict 1000 future values from  $f(y;\theta)$ , using pseudo-Bayesian prediction.

[Note: You may assume that n is sufficiently large that the likelihood is well behaved.]

Question 5: 6 mks: Subject to regularity conditions, it has been shown that the likelihood is higher at the true parameter value,  $\theta_0$ , than at any other fixed parameter value with probability that becomes arbitrarily close to 1 as the sample size increases. Using this fact, show that the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is consistent. (You may assume  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and that the likelihood is unimodal.)